
The author of The Women and Kiss the Boys Goodbye, often accused of betraying her sex and her class with a

bitter pen, tells how it feels. enpessions

When the Editor of STAGE rang me out of bed at the crack of dawn one afternoon last week, and asked me to do a piece for her perfectly lovely magazine. I was terribly pleased (naturally). But pleasure gave way at once to the most fearful funk. If only she had wanted an interview, that would have been but divine. I could have chatted on and on about myself and my many, many interests, and we might even have gone a little into what I always laughingly refer to as "my work." And she could have jotted down the best bits, and after polishing them up so they'd look well in print, organized the whole drooling mess into a really adorable article. But she was dreadfully adamant that I had to do the thing under my own name,

on the debatable theory that I was not an actress, but a playwright. I mean, I think that's debatable, because you're just not really a playwright until you've written one or two very distinguished flops. . . Well, I dumped the Persian cats off my lap, slunk out of bed, slithered into a little leopard-skin bedjacket, and sat down at my dressing-table, to file my nails, and consider the problem. Frankly, I was in a spot. To begin with,

Georgie Guggenheim was out of town, so he couldn't help me with it. And I'd given my secretary a day off (which she did richly deserve after slaving day and night six solid months compiling a glossary of Southern expressions for me to choose from in doing KISS THE BOYS GOODBYE). That meant I had to type it myself. And typing plays absolute havor with my nails, which are now so thrillingly long and sharp it's a grave question whether I won't some day make a careless gesture and cut my own throat. Moreover, I felt just 100 dreadful, having contracted a little set of horrid sniffles and one of those small nasty hacking coughs which one is so likely to get in badly ventilated theatres if one insists (as I do) on going to an occasional rehearsal. I mean, I would go all the time, if I could only bring my friends, but producers just hate to have you bring Mr. and Mrs. Willie Stewart and Jerry Zerbe and Lucius Beebe before the



OldMagazineArticles.com

opening night. Above all, now that the play is really on Broadway (isn't it divine—Elsa Maxwell makes everybody go) I longed to return to my social life. Oh, it's all very well to be blasé and casual about it, but, seriously, one's social life does get a bit discombobulated by, extracurricular activities like writing plays, or even breeding blue-ribbon Dachshunds. I mean, if you get sort of sucked into the theatre, you just can't plan your evenings about, so that there are all sorts of exciting occasions, like Charity Previews, you're bound to miss. Because, actually, if you're going to do a play, you've got to schedule six weeks right out of your life! And how curiously démodé one's wardrobe becomes after six weeks of almost absolute neglect! One's hair loses that well-groomed look, and even one's nail polish gets chipped.

No . . . I couldn't write a piece . . . And yet . . . and yet . . . after a little honest self-introspection in my mirror, I saw clearly all these were just excuses. The truth was, I couldn't think up a good idea for a piece. The only ideas I seemed to have were perfectly innocuous! Sort of 'whither away the theatre' and the 'social implications of the current drama,' and ghastly gummy topics like that. (It really isn't my fault . . . the Theatre just does contaminate one with gruesome, wishy-washy ideas like that.) And I intuitively felt that that sort of deep Pollyanna stuff is not my forte. Anyway, that's what I told the Editor (or vice versa). She said I could do an autobiographical piece. An autobiographical piece might throw some light on what my plays were driving at! Heavens, as if any piece weren't autobiographical! How can one belp betraying what one is in everything one writes, except perhaps a check. (No, perhaps after all, one's check-book is one's real autobiography . . . tho' I know that remark is cynical, not to say a little pink.) Oh, how does anyone get to be what he (or she) is? He (or she) just wakes up one morning to find out that he (or she) has become what I like to think is a product of what I like to call "creative evolution," isn't it? I mean, anybody, even I, is like the flower in the crannied wall. You see, at heart, I'm frightfully philosophical . . . I learned to be philosophical reading philosophy in a fashionable finishing school on Columbus Avenue, while the rest of the girls played basketball or giggled in corners about boys. How the girls laughed at me then! "Poo," they used to say, "Clare and her Elbert Hubbard!" And anyway I'm sav-

which I intend to dash off when I get too bored writing plays. I told the Editor so. She seemed a little exasperated and I don't blame her, because that practically did leave us without any piece. So that's when she batted up the suggestion which gives the title to this.

She said it would be so NICE if I did a thingumadiddie on "How it feels to be a Trojan Horse." For a moment my carefully arched eyebrows knit in perplexity.

It was stupid of me, but I didn't get it. Then she explained the classical reference. The point was, hadn't I just been sort of

ing up all that divine autobiographical junk

for a perfectly fascinating book of memoirs

lugged into what people who don't under-

stand it laughingly refer to as Society, by Society itself? And hadn't I, once within

opening night. Above all, now that the play is really on Broadway (isn't it divine—Elsa Maxwell makes everybody go) I longed to return to my social life. Oh, it's all very well to be blasé and casual about it, but, seriously, one's social life does get a bit discombobulated by, extracurricular activities like writing plays, or even breeding blue-ribbon Dachshunds. I mean, if you get sort of sucked into the theatre, you just can't plan your evenings about, so that there are all sorts of exciting occasions, like Charity Previews, you're bound to miss. Because, actually, if you're going to do a play, you've got to schedule six weeks right out of your life! And how curiously démodé one's wardrobe becomes after six weeks of almost absolute neglect! One's hair loses that well-groomed look, and even one's nail polish gets chipped.

No . . . I couldn't write a piece . . . And yet . . . and yet . . . after a little honest self-introspection in my mirror, I saw clearly all these were just excuses. The truth was, I couldn't think up a good idea for a piece. The only ideas I seemed to have were perfectly innocuous! Sort of 'whither away the theatre' and the 'social implications of the current drama,' and ghastly gummy topics like that. (It really isn't my fault . . . the Theatre just does contaminate one with gruesome, wishy-washy ideas like that.) And I intuitively felt that that sort of deep Pollyanna stuff is not my forte. Anyway, that's what I told the Editor (or vice versa). She said I could do an autobiographical piece. An autobiographical piece might throw some light on what my plays were driving at! Heavens, as if any piece weren't autobiographical! How can one belp betraying what one is in everything one writes, except perhaps a check. (No, perhaps after all, one's check-book is one's real autobiography . . . tho' I know that remark is cynical, not to say a little pink.) Oh, how does anyone get to be what he (or she) is? He (or she) just wakes up one morning to find out that he (or she) has become what I like to think is a product of what I like to call "creative evolution," isn't it? I mean, anybody, even I, is like the flower in the crannied wall. You see, at heart, I'm frightfully philosophical . . . I learned to be philosophical reading philosophy in a fashionable finishing school on Columbus Avenue, while the rest of the girls played basketball or giggled in corners about boys. How the girls laughed at me then! "Poo," they used to say, "Clare and her Elbert Hubbard!" And anyway I'm sav-

which I intend to dash off when I get too bored writing plays. I told the Editor so. She seemed a little exasperated and I don't blame her, because that practically did leave us without any piece. So that's when she batted up the suggestion which gives the title to this.

She said it would be so NICE if I did a thingumadiddie on "How it feels to be a Trojan Horse." For a moment my carefully arched eyebrows knit in perplexity.

It was stupid of me, but I didn't get it. Then she explained the classical reference. The point was, hadn't I just been sort of

ing up all that divine autobiographical junk

for a perfectly fascinating book of memoirs

lugged into what people who don't under-

stand it laughingly refer to as Society, by Society itself? And hadn't I, once within

Clare Booth the best seats at openings, at El Morocco and even the swankiest parties Elsa and Condé give are generally for people connected with The Arts! But inspiration or calculation, it was frightfully lucky I hit on writing plays, wasn't it? And it was so wonderfully for-tunate that quite a lot of people I'd met socially on Park Avenue, at very exclusive parties, people like cowboys, cooks, mani-curists, nurses, hat-check girls, fitters, ex-chorines, declassée countesses, Westport intellectuals, Hollywood producers, Southern girls, and radical columnists, gave me such lovely material to write about. I mean, I'm so indebted to them, it just pains me something fierce if all these Social Registerites feel pouty about it. But what would I have written about, if not the Social Register, I ask. After all, think of my life, a life of seclusion and grace and luxury, in which one is never exposed to the world of brutal fact, of bitterness, of moral or intellectual strifeabove all, intellectual strife. Of course, one just can't help, no matter bow one tries, reading a little here and there about that great outside world, the world of War, and Fascism, and Socialized Medicine, and the C.L.O. and the A.I.Fe and the increasing insanity-rate, and HOUSING, and Mr. Corcoran and Mr. Cohen, and other absolutely tear-making topics. But I just haven't had much dynamic contact with all that. Though, when I do make out my income-tax checks, I dimly suspect that the size of them does bear some relation to these problems. . . . I suppose I could inform myself, but then you must realize that with all my feverish social and personal activities there is very little time to get personal experience of what I like to call 'humanity' in contrast to the people I write about. Alas, one hardly has time to read one's breakfast mail and press clippings, much less those clarifying earthy editorials in the Daily News, before lo! it is time for lunch at the Colony and one's fittings at Carnegie's and Bergdorf's! But sociological problems are divinely fascinating. So long as one likes to putter about with a typewriter, it would be so satisfactory (at least to one's own ego) to write down all the marvelous answers that pop into one's head about them, the way the columnists do, three times a week. But do you really think it matters about my not being able to do it? After all, everybody else, who's

so much better equipped, is trying to do it. I mean, I do believe that the Theatre is in the hands of the Left Wingers! (Georgie Guggenheim says only spiritually because Mr. Max Gordon, Sam Harris, Brock Pemberton, and John Golden do still have a small Right Wing stake in it.) . . .? But oh, shouldn's the Theatre be anyway mildly Left? I mean, bas the Theatre any vitality if it does not reflect the moods and the emotions of Our Times? I always say, a little modest revolution in our time, O Lord, is the prayer in all our hearts! I do think in this 'transition period' of our 'national economic life' that a play—even a musical—without a message is just as inappropriate and gauche as a silk hat on a picket line. Why it's almost an insult to the Weltanschauung of Our Times when a modern play doesn't make a decent Social Protest! Why, it's just as obvious as the nose on Elmer Rice's face that modern plays should be about MAN and full of MES-SAGES. I mean, plays like To Quito and

Back, American Dream, Prelude to Exile,

Siege, The Cradle Will Rock, Ten Million Ghosts, Between Two Worlds, We the People, Johnny Johnson, The Ghost of Yankee Doodle, Paths of Glory, End of Summer, Rain From Heaven, Paradise Lost, Marching Song, First Mortgage, Searching for the Sun, Steel, They Shall Not Die, Days to Come and Men Must Fight are positive contributions to the Living Theatre.

But Georgie Guggenheim says the trouble with the theatre seems to be that the public is positively unregenerate. Sometimes I grow perfectly livid about it . . I mean, I do think it's disgraceful when people with \$5.00 in their pockets for a pair of theatre tickets get frightfully uppity about patronizing plays in which people who are disgusting enough to have \$5.00 in their pockets are shown up. . . . But Georgie Guggenheim says that proletarian plays (unless you make them terribly amusing!) are for some perfectly cockeyed reason rarely marketable except at proletarian prices. . . He says if wonderful plays like these, with which everybody agrees in substance, are to succeed, the system you've got to destroy first is the one that makes you pay for a ticket. . . . He says it's the public which really dis-

courages the straight-thinking, message-bearing playwrights. That and one other teeny thing. . . . It's so frightfully difficult to write more than one Formal Social-Protest Play. I mean, when you've said you're against Social Injustice, well then you've actually gone on record about it! And it's so tiresome to say it all over again, just with a different set of puppers-tiresome, and tricky, too, because very often the thing you go on record about one year isn't fashionable to protest against the next. Georgie Guggenheim says, take WAR. Until a year ago, it was just too inspiring to hear so many playwrights saying WAR was the greatest tragedy, and the munition manufacturers were positively soulless maniacs. But now it seems that Fascism is a Fate worse than DEATH-and why didn't all those blind pacifists avail themselves of the wonderful services of those munition manufacturers? Georgie Guggenheim says when you write about MAN, the answers do slosh about quite a lot. Maybe it is just safer, and-from a Box Office point of view-a touch more artistic, to write about men and women. But then it's so hard to write about men and women in a modern play if you still have to plump in messages the way you do truffles in a pudding. . . Georgie gets terribly nostalgic when he talks

about the old days before Pulitzer Prizes and Critics' Circle Prizes were Popularity Polls, or something you got by collecting soap-box wrappers. When 'The Boys' (as he calls them) could write about contemporary men and women. You know, Coquette, Minick, Jarnegan, The Show-Off, Craig's Wife. . . . Anna Christie, Lulu Bett, Dulcy, even Lulu Belle! He says those were the days when you could (if you wanted) chip away at the Social System, but you didn't have to go out and hack it to pieces. But then, he says, our playwrights are terribly resourceful. They've sort of found a way out of this nasty dilemma. They write costume plays, which is fright-fully clever, don't you think? I mean, you can always hide your Social Conscience under a Bustle! You can rush to your typewriter, and without any obligation to deliver a message, write about dead people. The de Peysters and

Clare Booth Vanderbilts and Astors of today are positive bombshells to handle. . . . It's even difficult to write about a Jewish family in the Bronx without having a lot of people get the feeling you're race conscious, or something. . . . But you can write about the Schermerhorns and Stuyvesants of yesteryear without being obliged to rip the stuffings out of them. When you write about de2d people you can make them Individuals, can't you? Whereas anybody alive is really not a person, but just one small facet of Collective Society. Dead People have real human nature, and I always say, human nature is something we like to think everybody has—particularly actors. So I just go everywhere, saying "Hurrah for the ghosts!" What life they've brought to the Theatre! Victoria Regina, Oscar Wilde, the Dutchmen in Knickerbocker Holiday and High Tor and Edgar Allan Poe, Byron, Shelley, Keats, Marie Antoinette, Jesse James, Mary Stuart, George Washington, Crown Prince Rudolph, Queen Elizabeth, and a lovely flock of Abe Lincolns, and the sweet theatrical ghosts in The Fabulous Invalid, and the eternally popular ghost of Hamlet's father! (Why, Eugene O'Neill is writing eight historical dramas . . .) Georgie says even Musical Comedy's caught on to it, in The Boys From Syracuse and in Knights of Song, which is all about Gilbert & Sullivan. He says all the playwrights with brains are doing it, on account that they've discovered a wonderful new thing, too. . . . Dead people not only have human nature, but they sometimes have quite a lot of good answers and. Messages! But, if you just don't want to prowl about in the history books, well there's another, though not quite so clever, way to get away from MAN. You can escape into The Fourth Dimension. . . . Our Town did that so sweetly in the last act. . . Priestley's tried to twice. . . Connelly, they say, is going to. Just go chase Death up a tree, or if you are musically inclined, marry an angel! Or, better still, stage a Miracle, in Catholic



Ireland. (If you're just a poor old producer who can't write or get hold of a script,

Georgie says, you should revive the classics.)
But do be either historical or whimsical!

